



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

were such as justified a separation, but they were not of that aggravated description as to render such a measure indispensable. On Lady Noel's representation, I deemed a reconciliation with Lord Byron practicable, and felt most sincerely a wish to aid in effecting it.—There was not on Lady Noel's part any exaggeration of the facts; nor, so far as I could perceive, any determination to prevent a return to Lord Byron: certainly none was expressed when I spoke of a reconciliation. When you came to town in about a fortnight, or perhaps more, after my first interview with Lady Noel, I was for the first time informed by you of facts utterly unknown, as I have no doubt, to Sir Ralph and Lady Noel. On receiving this additional information my opinion was entirely changed: I considered a reconciliation impossible. I declared my opinion, and added, that if such an idea should be entertained, I could not, either professionally or otherwise, take any part towards effecting it. Believe me, very faithfully yours,

"STEPH. LUSHINGTON.

"Great George's-street, Jan. 31, 1830."

Dialogues on Natural and Revealed Religion; with a Preliminary Inquiry, an Appendix, containing Supplemental Discourses, and Notes and Illustrations. By the Rev. R. Morehead, D.D. F.R.S.E. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh; and Simpkin and Marshall, London.

THE best brief explanation of the nature of this work, is furnished by its author in the dedication to his friend Mr. Jeffrey, the late editor of the *Edinburgh Review*. Its subject matter is, he tells us, in one word, RELIGION, that inspiring theme, which in happier times was at the foundation of all that was elevated and pure, not only in morals but in the efforts of genius, and which, if it has seemed, for a season indeed, to be under a heavy eclipse, is again happily breaking forth into its genuine station, although it may still be travelling through clouds. To throw all the light that can be collected upon this highest of all inquiries, and to point out its bearings upon every other branch of knowledge, and on all human improvement, is Dr. Morehead's aim in the present work; and particularly to draw closer the connexion between philosophy and religion, and to shew to the men of genius and letters of the age, that they will never employ successfully their great gifts and acquirements, unless they surrender their souls to those impulses of piety, which, through all the different views of nature and of revelation, are the only sentiments congenial with the force of reason, and with the splendours of imagination. This design is carried into effect by means of a series of ten dialogues, between an amiable sceptic and two earnest believers; these are followed by discourses on such collateral topics of inquiry, as the constant agency of the Deity, our Saviour's character as an evidence of the divinity of his religion; and the discussion of such popular objections as the want of universality in divine revelation, the late introduction of Christianity into the world, and the like.—The whole being prelated by a powerfully written and highly interesting "Preliminary Inquiry," into the grounds of belief in the existence of a Creator and moral governor of the universe, founded on the striking manifestations of intelligence and design which every where

crowd upon us; and a proof that what are called the principles of common sense, are neither more nor less than principles of natural theology. The manner in which these views coalesce with the great discoveries and saving truths of revelation, is then considered, and that heavenly teaching which was to rectify the will, and bring the warped affections of the heart into unison and co-operation with the natural principles of belief, is next developed.

Though the dialogue form, is not, in our opinion, the one most happily chosen for a work of this kind, the matter of the present is so valuable, that we willingly overlook any difference of opinion on a mere matter of taste, and cordially recommend the present volume, as the production of a man of clear, shrewd thought, expressed in terse and elegant language, upon a subject the most interesting and important that human beings are capable of investigating.

Encyclopædia Britannica, Part I.—This is the seventh edition, stereotyped and published in parts, under the superintendence of Macvey Napier, the new editor of the *Edinburgh Review*. The able preliminary dissertations by Dugald Stewart, Playfair, Leslie, and Sir J. Mackintosh, need not to be celebrated by us. Great expense, has, we understand, been gone to in preparing the present edition, and it bids fair to be executed in a manner altogether worthy of so laborious and important a work.

The Rudiments of correct reading; consisting of Rules and Examples adapted to the capacity of children. By Alex. Adam.—Edinburgh, Oliver and Boyd.

THIS is the production of a practical teacher, drawn up and arranged with considerable judgment and skill, and well calculated both for teaching children to read, and for conveying simple, pleasing, and useful information, during that process.

PERIODICAL LITERATURE.

Foreign Quarterly Review,* No. 10. Treuttel and Würtz, London.

WE have been very much pleased with the literary ability displayed in the present number of this Review, it appears to be conducted by a person of very careful and elegant literary taste, and the various articles, if not distinguished by any particular vigour of thought, or energy of expression, are yet abounding with useful information, and as pieces of composition are, we think, generally more correct and graceful, than we meet with in any other periodical of the day.

The first article is an Essay on the present

* In our notice of the last Number of the *Foreign Review*, in which a comparison, in some respects, was instituted between it and the *Foreign Quarterly*, mention was made of the articles in each, to which the title of the French translation of Mr. Bentham's work on Jurisprudence, was appended. We find we have been misunderstood in what we said respecting these articles; it was not our intention to institute a comparison between the merits of the essays, which by no means admit of being compared, the paper in the *Foreign Review* being a criticism on Mr. Bentham's opinions, and that in the *Foreign Quarterly*, a biographical sketch of M. Dumont, the French translator of Mr. Bentham's book, which is attributed, and we believe justly, to Sir James Mackintosh. Our object merely was to object to what we consider a literary abuse very prevalent in the present day—that of estimating productions rather by the names of the authors to whom

state of the Netherlands, and it is undoubtedly a very masterly statistical paper. It is hardly possible to imagine a statement giving a more complete and satisfactory statistical account of the Netherlands, were all the documents certainly authentic, but in this respect we fear the paper will not be thought by cautious people, to be all that could be wished—some of the tables are without any authority affixed, others are admitted to be liable to doubt, and one we observe to be given on the authority of a publication from the pen of M. Cesar Moreau. We do not know whether this gentleman be more careful in his statements with respect to continental matters, than he is when he touches upon our Irish affairs, but if we are to estimate the authenticity of the table quoted in the *Foreign Review*, by the accuracy of the statements, purporting to be information, which appear in his work on Ireland, our reliance upon it must be very small indeed. The population tables in this paper are very interesting, but we should have been as well satisfied, if the writer had not suffered his zeal to prove Mr. Sadler's views erroneous, to lead him a little out of his way. Some of our readers may perhaps not be aware, that the grand principle upon which Mr. Sadler founds his opposition to Malthus and his school, is this, that "the intensity of fecundity varies in an inverse ratio to the numbers on a given space," which means in plainer, and less concise terms, that the more dense the population becomes on a given space, the smaller will be the rate of increase in proportion to the numbers of that population.

We hope that the tables furnished by the reviewer, have been sought for more in the spirit of ascertaining the exact truth, than of proving his side of the population controversy.

We present our readers with one of the comparative tables, which will, we think, prove very interesting to those who take an interest in the subject to which it refers.

	Netherlands.	France.	Gt. Britain.
100 Births to 2807 Inhabitants,	3168	..	3534
100 Deaths to 3261 do.	4000	..	5780
100 Marriages to 13150 do.	13480	..	13233
100 do. to 408 Births,	426	..	359

It will be seen, that a given number of deaths bears a much smaller proportion to the number of inhabitants in Great Britain, than in either France or the Netherlands, the marriages are nearly equal in the three countries—the births fewest in England, and fewer in France than in the Netherlands. Had the reviewer taken in Ireland, he would have had the pleasure of finding out that we beat them all hollow, in the matter of births.

We cannot close our notice of this paper, without mention of the author's panegyric on political economy, and we give an extract from it, as affording both a curious view of the lofty notions which some persons entertain concerning

they are attributed, than by an honest examination of the productions themselves.

It has also been objected, that we have given to the *Foreign Quarterly Review*, a political character which it does not arrogate to itself: we called it whig, because we thought that in the political world, of which we have some little knowledge, though we do not chuse to discuss politics, it was so regarded: nor is it remarkable that we should have described the *Foreign Quarterly*, and the *Foreign Review*, as rival whig and tory publications, when it is known that the pages of the former are graced by the elegant compositions of Sir James Mackintosh, and those of the latter, enriched by the vigorous pen of Doctor Southey. At all events, holding ourselves, as we do, aloof from political discussion, it is impossible that we should have intended, by the political character which we gave these publications, to convey censure, or imputation of any kind.